



**Minutes of the REMOTE Full Council meeting held via GoToMeetings at Leonard Smith House, West Centre Way
On Thursday 10th September 2020**

Due to the current Covid-19 epidemic and the changes in legislation dated 7th April 2020, the Local Authorities and Police and Crime Panels (Coronavirus) (Flexibility of Local Authority and Police and Crime Panel Meetings) (England and Wales) Regulations 2020, allows for all local authority meetings to be held remotely, either by video or telephone conferencing, live streaming or web chat.

PRESENT:

Cllr Shaun Davies, Cllr. Jayne Greenaway, Cllr Amrik Jhawar, Cllr Raj Mehta, Cllr. Rob Parker, Cllr Lee Vidor, Cllr John Yorke

CLERK:

Sharon George, Clerk

IN ATTENDANCE

Simon Baily (Project, Events, & Engagement Officer)

Jill Holland (Deputy Clerk)

Matt Lever (Admin Assistant)

Judy Parker (Facilities & Community Liaison Officer)

Cllr Mark Boylan (Ketley & Overdale Ward)

Cllr Jacqui Seymour (Wrockwardine Ward)

2020/41 CHAIR'S WELCOME

The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting.

2020/42 APOLOGIES

No apologies received from Cllr Mike Tyler

Cllr Boylan departed the meeting at 18:33 due to other commitments.

Cllr Jacqui Seymore departed the meeting at 18:54.

Cllr Davies departed the meeting at 19:27 due to other commitments.

APOLOGIES RECEIVED AND ACCEPTED

It was noted that apologies should be given in advance to the Clerk where possible and that any apologies are formally agreed by a vote taken at the meeting.

2020/43 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillor	Interest	Declaration	Minute no.
John Yorke	Community Facility	LVCA Member	2020/51

2020/44 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

None present

2020/45 MINUTES

The minutes from the **Full Council Meeting** held on **23rd July 2020** were **APPROVED**. It was **RESOLVED** that the minutes be signed and **ADOPTED** as a true record. This was **PROPOSED** by Cllr John Yorke and **SECONDED** by Cllr. Lee Vidor. Cllr Shaun Davies abstained, as he was not present for the whole meeting.

2020/46 FINANCE

- a) Invoices were authorised for payment at the bank – completed

Cllr Jane Greenaway – reported that:

- She was still being contacted by Old Park residents regarding the ongoing issue at one of the properties there, as well as the Wrekin Housing Trust (WHT) public consultation.

Cllr Mark Boylan – reported that:

- He has been working with residents since his meeting with WHT. He had predicted that residents were not going to be happy, due to the number of proposed new properties increasing to 94 and had been expecting some sort of backlash on that. He adds that this was regarding the public consultation that had been done on the WHT website. Cllr Boylan said that he had received feedback from the Old Park Residents Association (OPRA) chair – mostly about “little bits” such as footpaths. He added that it was hard to gauge how it would proceed.
- *Cllr Boylan apologised for mistaking the Councillor Session for the Ward Members session but continued to deliver his report.*
- Bike issues and anti-social behaviour (ASB) issues were ongoing. Cameras were due to be installed in the Square, however, and he had been working with police & Paul Fenn from Telford & Wrekin Council (TWC) to alleviate the issues. He added that he was receiving emails and phone calls when they were onsite, and he had been feeding back to police. Some had been captured on-camera already. At the [Lawley and Overdale Parish Council police] drop-in session he attended on Saturday [5 September], one of the residents came down to talk to him about it.
- The issues with a certain property on Park Lane were still ongoing. Police were involved, and there had been enforcement from TWC Planning. He added that he had hoped that the “party house” should be stopped soon.
- There were ongoing issues at Station road. The Clerk reminded Cllr Boylan about the speeding issues on Bellpit Road, which Cllr Jhawar was “keen” about – Cllr Boylan explained that an agreement had been reached to site Speed Indicator Devices (SIDs) there to determine if it was an issue.

Cllr Lee Vidor – reported that:

- He had liaised with the Clerk and staff regarding Phase 7, where there had been issues with road maintenance and unfinished roads, yet builders had “moved on”. Cllr Vidor was able to obtain a schedule of work, and residents seemed happy with the response he gave them. Maintenance of grounds had gone on earlier that day.
- Two residents had contacted him regarding speeding in Glendale and Lawley Village - one “down the pond end”, the other “towards Meadows”. Cllr Vidor asked whether the Council could request monitoring to obtain traffic data - it would be worth raising with Highways, he said, to enable them to gather data. Cllr Vidor added that the police had been operating with speed-guns in the area the previous day, but he didn’t know if the Council had received any feedback. Anything we can do there would be “great”, he said, and asked if the Clerk had heard back from Highways regarding Traffic Regulation Orders (TROs). Clerk informed Cllr Vidor that the timeline for TROs had slipped for “obvious reasons”. **ACTION: Clerk to enquire with Highways about the status of plans for speed monitoring schemes on Glendale.**
- The issue of bins and ASB had been reported around Lavender Close and Ironbridge Way. Cllr Rob Parker added that there weren’t enough bins in those areas. Executive homes on Phase 8 have been in touch, and developers and planners were looking to get a meeting. Bins were on the plans for Phase 8 already, so they just needed to be installed – ideally before residents buy the houses. Cllr Mehta asked if there had been a response; Clerk informed him that a meeting had been planned over the next week or so, and she will provide an update at the next meeting. Cllr Mehta said that he needed to be present at the meeting to see what’s going on. **ACTION: Clerk to provide an update to Full Council about the results of the meeting with developers re: bins.**

Cllr Vidor said that the bin issue was about the public footpath that goes past Newdale pool all the way down to the motorway/Overdale, where there were almost no bins. The Clerk said that this issue had been raised before, in particular regarding Wrekin/Ironbridge Way. The Clerk explained that installing bins is cheap, but that it was taking on the maintenance afterwards that was causing consternation. **ACTION: Cllr Mehta to chat with the Clerk in detail about the lack of public bins during their next weekly Chairman/Clerk virtual meeting.**

Cllr John Yorke – reported that:

- He had received several complaints from Dawley Bank Ward regarding the lorry wheel-cleaning site on Phase 11 not working. It was eventually repaired, but the roads were subsequently in a poor state.
- After the above issue, the contractors were discovered to be importing illegal fill from Priorslee. Cllr Yorke explained that fill was only supposed to be sourced from the phases within Lawley, but they were bringing in fill from Priorslee, which was noticed by the public. TWC took enforcement action to stop this.

Cllr Jacqui Seymour – reported that:

- She was pleased to see the footpath at Lawley Village Green had been repaired, which was good news.
- Asked if there had been any updates regarding the Traveller’s site. The Clerk explained that she had copied Cllr Seymour into email correspondence about that decision previously; specifically, the Council was not in a position to support the project financially. The Clerk went on to explain that there had been issues on the Traveller’s site – when a team from Idverde visited the site for litter picking, it was discovered that the Travellers themselves had smashed up many of the concrete slabs, as well as the steps to what used to be the “learning caravan”. They cleaned it up, only to return and find them strewn across the site the following week. The Clerk added that she had let the project planners know about those incidents, as otherwise it would be just wasted money. Clerk advised to wait and see if the project goes forward.
- She had £300 left available out of her Ward fund, and asked councillors if they could think of anything in West Ward on which it could be spent. The Clerk informed Cllr Seymour that there was some planting work planned for October; she hadn’t had costings yet, but it goes back to expenditure from Ward funding for last year. Cllr Mehta suggested that councillors contact the Clerk if they could think of any ideas.

Judy Parker highlighted that the staff had spoken about updating the various noticeboards around the parish and adding new ones into places that lack them. **ACTION: Judy Parker to assemble costs for noticeboard work and report back to Cllr Seymour.**

Cllr Amrik Jhawar – reported that:

- There is an issue with land ownership at an address in Cheshire Way. Cllr Jhawar asked the Clerk who owns the land; Clerk informed the councillor that it was “another strip of no-man’s-land” and was not owned by the Council. Cllr Jhawar said that the resident was happy to take the land on as part of his garden, but the Clerk pointed out that he would need to speak to the Wrekin Housing Trust – the land had belonged to them originally, but was missed off their maintenance schedule.
- There is an issue with the underpass beneath the M54 on the Overdale-Ketley footpath; there are no lights, and everything is dark there. Cllr Jhawar believed that area was the responsibility of Ketley Parish Council, but they said it wasn’t. Cllr Jhawar asked the Clerk if TWC had been in touch about the issue; the Clerk said that they hadn’t, and it’s not part of LOPC. **ACTION: Clerk to contact TWC and learn who is responsible for the area.**

Cllr Jayne Greenaway – reported that:

- Issues with Phase 7 had been raised again, ongoing works. The footpath had “disappeared down the slope”. Starting to work on it.
- The biggest problem is flooding. Cllr Greenaway thanked Dan and Hayley from Highways for all their help on the issue, and Persimmon, who “pulled out all the stops to get it cleared up”.
- Glendale speeding had been raised – there are issues with speeding in the area, and parked cars turning the road into a single lane.
- People had been turning-up at the Council’s police drop-in surgeries to raise a wide variety of anti-social behaviour issues.

Cllr Shaun Davies – reported that:

- He wished to provide the Council with a Borough Council update:
 - Cllr Davies had been dealing with the Dawley Bank issue mentioned by Cllr Yorke. There was another dimension to the issue, Cllr Davies explained – post-COVID, there had been a relaxation of regulations around construction work, making it difficult for Planning Enforcement Officers to act as they would have done before. Cllr Davies pointed out that it “wasn’t a coincidence” that the lorry wheel-cleaner on-site had been fixed, but rather a result of enforcement action. Clearly the developer was in the wrong, and it was illegal to be importing soil from Priorslee, he said. He was glad that it had been stopped
- He had been having conversations regarding Dawley Baptist Church. Severn Trent had decided not to rescind the bill, which puts the scheme at a big disadvantage. The church had decided to go ahead and try to recoup money. Cllr Davies added that he didn’t get anything meaningful from his discussions with the Severn Trent CEO.
- There were issues in Dawley Bank regarding the Wrekin View pub and the Co-op. Residents had been parking on the road and then dashing across to the shop rather than using car park; the Borough had been looking to install a crossing and paint double yellow lines. Cllr Davies observed that it was quite interesting that as people were working from home more, issues of parking and traffic flow were becoming more common.

Cllr Mark Boylan – reported that:

- Following on from Cllr Davies, he had spoken to Highways about traffic calming measures on Station Road. He had received a report that he shared with the Clerk, stating that once Phase 11 is in place, additional crossing and traffic calming measures will be installed. Cllr Boylan said that residents are not happy with this, as they want these to be reduced. Cllr Boylan is liaising with Highways to see if more monitoring can be undertaken.

Cllr Boylan departed the meeting at 18:33 due to other commitments.

2020/49 COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

a) Events Update – Virtual Fun Run and Get Active for Sienna, Garden Competition

Simon Bailey, Project, Events, & Engagement Officer, provided councillors with a Fun Run update. He explained that the Virtual 5k event had 61 people signed up, and it was ongoing until 12 September. There were only five people who hadn't sent in their evidence. This meant that £366 had been raised for the Telford Smile Project, plus £101 from Cllr Mehta's donation, making £467 in total.

The Get Active for Sienna virtual event had 31 people signed up, nine of which were children – Simon Bailey noted that entry for children cost less at £3, versus £6 for adults. Out of 31 people, four people haven't provided evidence yet. This meant that £159 had been raised for Sienna, plus Cllr Mehta's donation of £101, making £260 in total. Simon Bailey pointed out that he and Cllr Davies had both participated, and there was still opportunity for other members to get involved. He added that additional money may still come in, as the event did not end until Saturday [12 September].

The Clerk added congratulations for Cllr Davies' participation.

Simon Bailey then explained to the councillors that the Garden Competition featured four different categories.

The Best Back Garden category received eight entries, the Best Front Garden category had two entries, the Most Creative Use of Space category had three entries, and the Young Gardeners category had just a single entry.

In total this meant there were 14 entries – predominantly from the Lawley area, but one entry had been received from Old Park. Cllr Mehta, Cllr Greenaway, and the staff team would be judging the winners, which would be announced shortly. The Clerk added that Cllrs Mehta and Greenaway should look at the entries and inform her of their votes.

Cllr Mehta said thank you to the Clerk, Judy Parker, Jill Holland, and Simon Bailey for all their work on organising the Garden Competition.

b) Remembrance Service

Judy Parker, Facilities & Community Liaison Officer, informed councillors that the paper regarding Remembrance Services options had been completed prior to the Prime Minister's announcement of the upcoming the 'rule of six'. She asked councillors to provide their comments.

Cllr Greenaway said that she didn't think the Council had many options – a pre-recorded event may be the only way. She added that while the Council should "do something", she worried that anything more than that was a big risk.

Cllr Davies said that he agreed with Cllr Greenaway to the extent that the Council needed to do something, but that he was wondering about a "hybrid option" – hosting a closed service, one that physically takes place with a small number of invited people, such as a vicar and councillors. He added that he thought it should not be publicised in advance, but that it should be live-streamed instead. Cllr Davies explained that he felt that a pre-recorded service might appear fake, and that it might seem disrespectful. He wondered if the Council had the necessary infrastructure to host a small service and live-stream the event. Cllr Davies added that it was his belief that there would be more COVID-related restrictions than less by November.

Cllr Vidor Lee agreed with Cllr Davies' live-streaming idea. He pointed out that under current rules worship was an exception, so a small group of invited people would be allowed.

Cllr Greenaway agreed that a pre-recorded service might appear fake, adding that such a service would also be dependent upon the availability of the people involved. She said that the Council would have to be extremely careful about inviting guests, due to feedback they had received about the War Memorial dedication ceremony.

Cllr Parker said that he would like to do something, and that there is a "fabulous memorial" there. He wondered if the Council could arrange something, albeit small. He said that hopefully people would understand the reasons for having a

very small event, and that if the Council could make it available online, both during and afterwards, that might be the best the Council could do under the circumstances.

The Clerk agreed with the points raised by the councillors. She explained that she had received new guidance from NACO that morning, as well as guidance from the Royal British Legion, which stated what Cllr Davies had alluded to – it was unclear what the situation would be by November. If things grew worse, the Council would need to be careful about who is invited. The guidance stated that if there was a Territorial Army (TA) base or veterans' organisation nearby, the Council should try to invite someone from those to give the armed forces proper recognition. **ACTION: Staff team to email councillors with some options regarding potential invitees.**

Judy Parker added that there could potentially be space for 6-10 people at the War Memorial, and that if barriers were placed around the War Memorial, members of the public could stand in the surrounding green space. Cllr Davies warned that the Council would need to be careful about making it a public event, in case large numbers of people arrived, which would be difficult to manage.

c) Office screens

The Clerk explained that three quotes had been provided at the July Full Council meeting, and that an action had been recorded in the minutes for her to return with separate quotes for the front and back areas of the office. The price of Perspex had risen compared to the original quote. The Clerk informed councillors that screens in the rear office would cost £764.48, while screens for the front office would cost £350.25. The Clerk added that COVID didn't seem likely to go away any time soon, and that the screens would be necessary eventually if the office were ever to return to use. Staff have been trying to have safe meetings in the office, and screens would be necessary in both areas of the office.

Cllr Mehta said that he felt safety was paramount. He pointed out that Perspex prices continued to rise, and that as safety was a big concern the office screens seemed necessary. He said that he felt the Council needed to look at installing screens seriously, as coronavirus was not going away any time soon.

Cllr Davies **PROPOSED** authorising funds for both sets of screens. Cllr Yorke **SECONDED**. Cllr Mehta asked councillors for comments.

Cllr Parker pointed out that the office is very small, and that while he appreciated that screens might be welcomed and have some limited use, he felt that they would make little difference in such a small, enclosed space. He suggested that the best thing to do would be to restrict the number of people in that space. The Clerk pointed out that it was agreed at the July Full Council meeting that up to two people could occupy the office, but that on Tuesday [8 September] both she and Jill had been in the office for finance training, in addition to holding a meeting with the new local police officer. Cllr Parker added that he had no objection to getting the screens, but that he didn't think they would protect people from catching coronavirus in such a small space. He reiterated that the best thing would be to restrict contact and have as few people as possible in the space at the same time.

Cllr Vidor said that he was happy for the screens to be installed and felt that it was important. He added that the request was coming from staff; councillors could compare the "pros and cons" and weigh up the differences, he said, but if the staff felt safer having them, then the Council should go ahead and buy the screens.

Cllr Jhawar said that he understood Cllr Parker's comments, but that the Council was in a situation where staff needed their protection, and that they should be authorised.

Cllr Mehta asked councillors if they agreed, and no objections were raised. **PROPOSAL PASSED.**

ACTION: Arrange for the purchase and installation of protective Perspex screens in the Council office.

The Clerk thanked councillors for their support. She said that she respected Cllr Parker's concerns, and that staff were not going to abuse the screens – the team was not going to risk catching the virus and spreading it to their families.

Cllr Jacqui Seymore departed the meeting at 18:54.

d) PC/SNT Drop-in – Survey Outcome to Date (plus additional surgeries)

The Clerk started by thanking the team for their work on the drop-in surgeries. They had been well-received by residents and proved a valuable tool for both the Council and the Police, as well as the South Telford 'Operation: Rough Park' initiative. Some common problems were raised at the drop-in sessions, many of them ongoing – since 2016, in some cases. The Clerk highlighted that feedback received from residents so far indicated that they felt the sheltered play area should

be removed. Before this can be raised with TWC, it had to pass Full Council. The Clerk explained that the impact assessment document was a work in progress, depending on other drop-in sessions planned for Dawley Bank and Overdale – assuming those can go ahead since the coronavirus guidelines have changed. The Clerk then asked councillors for their views.

Cllr Davies welcomed the outreach work. He said that in other areas of his ward, when residents were engaged with by people other than the police, the response rate was much higher. Cllr Davies said that he was concerned about basing any decisions on only 16 responses, pointing out that people affected by crime were more likely to come forward than people who were not affected. He reiterated that he was unsure whether 16 responses should inform policymaking. He asked if something could be put in the Council newsletter about it.

Jill Holland pointed out that the initial report was based on the first two drop-in surgeries, and that the surveys from the more recent drop-in surgery were yet to be included. She added that she and Simon Bailey had recently met with an individual with a lot of experience in youth services and found it informative and useful. One idea that arose from that meeting was to work with local schools, perhaps asking them to put details about the local policing team in their newsletters. Other ideas were about how to interact with all young people, as not all children are behaving inappropriately. Jill Holland suggested that a survey be designed specifically for young people, while being aware of polarisation.

Cllr Greenaway said that she had attended the drop-in surgeries and 16 didn't seem like a great many people to her - she felt like there had been more. The councillor pointed out that there had been issues with people being derogatory about police, and this had "filled a gap". She agreed that doing something more to engage with young people was a good idea.

Simon Bailey added that there were other survey responses to add on to the report. The Clerk estimated that there were also 38 survey responses submitted to the online version of the survey – Matt Lever, Administrative Assistant, clarified that there had been 75 responses to the online survey.

Regarding the 26 September and 10 October events, the Clerk said that she had made enquiries at the Carpenter Centre in Overdale about reserving a three-hour slot; they charge £100 for the room. The Clerk queries if the Council will be able to hold indoor events at all, due to the upcoming coronavirus regulation changes. A decision needs to be made as to whether to book the room.

Cllr Vidor added to Cllr Davies' comment about people not wanting to approach the police; he felt that people just want somebody to talk to, and that the police don't necessarily need to be present. The Council can always feedback their findings to the police afterwards. If "we have a united front" and make it a regular occurrence, the councillor felt that more people would come forward.

Cllr Mehta said that it really helped to have the police there, and that they are needed to build-up confidence. In the short term, having police present showed a sense of unity between the Council and the police coming together.

Cllr Davies said that after the new regulations come into force, six people would be allowed to be indoors, and felt that there was no reason the Council couldn't hold additional surgeries so long as they were limited to six people at a time. He said that he felt the surgery needed to go ahead, and added that as winter approaches, more restrictions may begin to come back, and the Council might lose a chunk of time. It would be no different than having six people in a pub or supermarket, he added.

Cllr Mehta asked if the Council wished to proceed with booking the Carpenter Centre and holding additional surgeries. Cllr Davies **PROPOSED**, Cllr Greenaway **SECONDED**. **ALL IN FAVOUR**.

ACTION: Clerk to book venues for the drop-in surgeries on 26 September and 10 October.

e) Phase 11 Update

The Clerk said that from a planning perspective, Phase 11 was not yet able to proceed - the documentation isn't complete.

Cllr Yorke said that he had received communications from residents that were unhappy – particularly with the levels of communication. He said that emails were not being replied to, and there wasn't a sense of openness and transparency. Officers should have the courtesy of responding to emails, he added, and residents felt like they were not being listened to and accommodated. He referenced the previous weeks' events he discussed earlier in the meeting as an example.

Cllr Davies contested that; he said that in his position at the Borough Council, he had personally responded to various residents, and added that if Cllr Yorke shared the details of those who weren't receiving responses, he would get back to them. He highlighted that where issues had arisen, such as the illegal topsoil issue, officers had been on-site 25 minutes after the reports had been sent in. Some residents thought it was related to all soil, so while he understood their

frustrations, that was not the same as TWC not responding. He reiterated that he didn't know which residents weren't being contacted.

Cllr Yorke welcomed how TWC had dealt with the lorry wheel-wash situation and clarified that he wasn't criticising the enforcement action at all. He said there were numerous emails that had been sent to officers that weren't responded to for six to eight weeks, however. Cllr Davies asked Cllr Yorke to provide him with a list of names and emails, and he would investigate it. Cllr Davies added that he had seen many responses being sent and wondered if residents were being responded to but not sharing those responses. He reiterated that he was more than happy to look into it but asked that they be careful not to attack Council officers. **ACTION: Cllr Yorke to liaise with Cllr Davies regarding residents that had not received responses to their enquiries.**

Cllr Greenaway said that correspondence was "tremendous", and all depended on individuals. She said that she had gone to visit the site herself but didn't realise there would be a "human road-block". A Persimmon representative had been present. She said that the information being sent out by developers was not specific about the nature of the work, and that developers should improve in that regard.

The Clerk informed councillors that roughly 600 or 700 emails had been sent about the issue since the start of lockdown, not counting ones before. She said that it would appear responses were being sent as quickly as possible. The Clerk agreed with Cllr Greenaway regarding the unclear nature of some correspondence from developers.

Cllr Vidor said that he thought residents just wanted their voices to be heard, and that he thought residents are getting different messages.

Cllr Mehta asked the Clerk to make a note to raise the issue in their weekly meeting. **ACTION: Clerk to add the Phase 11 communication issue to the agenda for the 17 September Chairman/Clerk virtual meeting.**

2020/50 CORRESPONDENCE

- Clerk said that comments had been received from residents going back to when the Council used to hold PACT meetings. A lot of residents at the drop-in surgeries raised ASB issues, before also raising Bournville Village Trust (BVT) issues. The Clerk thought that the Council should look to facilitate – though not necessarily resurrect PACT - a joint, bi-monthly meeting with interested parties (police, BVT, WHT), to show residents that the Council is there for them.

Cllr Davies departed the meeting at 19:27 due to other commitments.

Cllr Greenaway said that she used to attend PACT meetings and found they could be very "hit-and-miss". She suggested that the Council could act as a "go-between".

Cllr Mehta said that he would be speaking to the Clerk in detail about the idea, which he thought was a good one. Cllr Vidor added that it was good to have the police and residents at the drop-in sessions where they could work out bigger issues in person, and he thought that keeping those going would open many things.

Councillors then discussed some of the issues raised at the drop-in sessions, and it was noted that Persimmon had agreed to install a proper fence as a result of them.

- The Clerk had received correspondence regarding the launch of the PCC's Safer Roads Initiative. Feedback from Cllrs Davies and Yorke had already been received – the Clerk said that the money is open for the Council to bid on. The feedback the Clerk had received from Cllr Davies had mentioned placing enforcement cameras on the crossing in Lawley. Highways were looking at what is possible before the Council put in a bid. The feedback the Clerk had received from Cllr Yorke raised the issue with late-night speeding on West Centre Way and Lawley Drive. The Clerk mentioned that she had met with the new local police officer and mentioned it to them, and they suggested asking for speed cameras on Lawley Drive.

The Clerk added that Cllr Davies' feedback had also mentioned the Speed Indicator Devices (SIDs); the Clerk had replied to him to say that the SID on Dawley Road is operating thanks to Cllr Vidor charging the batteries. She added that the new SIDs obtained by the Council earlier in the year were still boxed-up in the office, and it would take at least three people to unbox them and determine how to operate them. The Clerk highlighted that locations to place them had already been identified, but in the current circumstances it wasn't feasible to do so. The Clerk asked if Cllr Vidor or Cllr Parker, with their technical backgrounds, might be able to help work out how to setup the SIDs. Both councillors indicated that they would be happy to help.

The Clerk reiterated that there was a short window for bids to be put in for the money. Cllr Mehta asked councillors to inform the Clerk if they have any ideas for a Council bid.

Cllr Greenaway enthused that the speed camera idea would “be a big win” and said that it had to be the target. It would stop both speeding and red light jumping at the same time, she added.

ACTION: Clerk to follow up with Highways re possible bids

Public Bodies (Admission to Meetings) Act 1960

In view of the confidential nature of the business about to be transacted it is requested that the public and press should not be present

2020/51 CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS

Items were noted

2020/52 AGENDA ITEMS FOR NEXT MEETING

- COUNCILLORS MUST SUBMIT ANY APOLOGIES IN ADVANCE OF FULL COUNCIL MEETINGS.
- COUNCILLORS TO EMAIL ANY AGENDA ITEMS FOR THE NEXT MEETING TO THE CLERK

2020/53 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

It was **NOTED** that the **FULL COUNCIL** parish meeting would take place on **THURSDAY 8th OCTOBER at 6pm. Due to the uncertainties created by COVID-19, the venue is currently UNCONFIRMED, but is likely to be virtual.**

The meeting closed at 8.32pm.

Signed..... Chair

Date.....